

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 25, 2002 LB 436

those opposed vote nay. Record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Beutler's amendment.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: The amendment is adopted.

CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill, Mr. President.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. Debate on advancement of the bill, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Dierks, continuing our dialogue a little bit. In looking at the main bill itself, on page 40, there's a list of things there, starting at the top of page 40 where it says; A license holder shall not do a number of different things. And in subsection (1) it indicates that a license holder should not use a pesticide in a manner inconsistent with three or four things; inconsistent with the pesticide's labeling, or with the restrictions on the use of the pesticide imposed by the state, the federal agency, or the federal act. And, what I wanted to inquire about, and I'm...I'm trying to remember, these things are getting too far away from me, but when a management area is created by an NRD, I think they have the ability, in certain ways, to restrict the use of pesticides. I think they're doing it in the Central Platte NRD right now. If I'm right in remembering that that is true, would there be any objection to adding that list of what a license holder shall not do, to include doing anything in violations of the restrictions that may be applicable by an NRD in a particular area? Would that be consistent with what you're doing?

SENATOR DIERKS: You know, I...I just...I think that...I think that that is kind of a significant change in the scope of the Pesticide Act, and probably ought to go through the hearing process, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Changes the scope of the Pesticide Act?

SENATOR DIERKS: Yes.